The 2014-2015 Capital Improvement Program (CIP) Budget and 2014-2019 Capital Improvement Program Plan is presented at the same time as the Operating Budget. This is done to emphasize the importance of long-range capital planning as a necessary adjunct to the annual operating budget. The operating budget provides for the funding mechanisms, while the Capital Improvement Program Plan document provides the detailed background and analysis for the approved capital expenditures.

**MISSION STATEMENT**

Ramsey County's mission is to enhance the quality of life for its citizens by providing progressive and innovative leadership that addresses Federal and State directives and changing community needs by delivering services in a responsive, professional, and cost-effective manner.

One of the guiding principles critical to the success of the County's mission is: "The County strives to maximize the return on its human, physical, and fiscal resources in providing quality services to the public." The recommendations contained herein are consistent with this principle in that they:

1. Assist departments in providing a quality work environment for employees and clients.
2. Assist departments in preserving and maintaining the County's investment in buildings, land, infrastructure, and equipment.
3. Are based on a prioritized ranking system including the effective utilization of available outside funding sources (Federal, State, Other) in addition to appropriate County funding levels.

**GOALS AND STRATEGIES**

Following are the goals and strategies used in forming recommendations:

A. To establish long-range (10 years) projected financing levels for regular capital projects and major building projects.
B. To continue funding for an Equipment Replacement Schedule (primarily for mobile/motorized equipment) using Capital Improvement and Equipment Replacement Levy (pay-as-you-go) as a financing method.
C. To continue funding scheduled building improvements in County-owned buildings operated as Internal Services Funds, through dedicated rental revenues.
D. To establish and finance a Comprehensive Capital Asset Management and Preservation Plan as one of the County Board’s priority goals set in 2005.
E. To establish responsible debt issuance levels, and compare them to certain debt indicator benchmarks.
F. To provide for needed capital repairs to County buildings, lands, and infrastructure to extend useful lives.
G. To provide for new capital investment to replace poorly functioning or non-functioning assets.
H. To maximize the use of Federal, State, and other non-County financing sources.
**GOVERNANCE**

The Ramsey County Board of Commissioners sets forth and administers the policy and affairs of the Capital Improvement Program Plan.

The Board of Ramsey County Commissioners created a fourteen-member Capital Improvement Program Citizens’ Advisory Committee (CIPAC), to be composed of two residents from each of the seven county commissioner districts appointed by the appropriate County Commissioner, to assure citizen participation in the decision making process.

CIPAC members, along with a group of raters assembled by the County Manager, listen to presentations, rate, and rank all submitted CIP projects for recommendation. The results are compiled and the County Manager uses this information in preparing the Approved Capital Improvement Project Budget which is presented to the Ramsey County Board of Commissioners.

**PUBLIC RELATIONS**

At a time when costs and demands are rising and public agencies must compete for limited resources, it is crucial that the County Manager, the staff, and Board Members work together to foster a positive public image for the County. While it is important to establish a formal and active public relations program for the County, public relations also encompasses a wide range of daily and informal activities. CIPAC can play a significant role in creating good will for the County. Through their many and varied contacts in the community, CIPAC members have frequent opportunities to inform others about County capital improvement projects accomplishments, and needs. CIPAC can be County's good will ambassadors, building awareness and support wherever possible.

At the same time CIPAC Members are being vocal and visible on behalf of the County, they can also be sensitive to community information needs and demands for better County services. CIPAC Members enjoy unique opportunities to serve as liaisons between the public and the County Commissioners, translating community needs into improved policies and programs.

**SCOPE**

Capital Improvement Projects are submitted by all County Departments, Ramsey County Library, Landmark Center, Historical Society, and Extension Services.
The Capital Improvement Program 2014/2015 Budget - 2014-2019 Plan includes:

A. Overview from County Manager letter

B. General summary of contents

C. Capital Improvement Program Project Policies and Procedures

D. A list of all capital asset projects costing $50,000 or more that are approved to be undertaken during the ensuing six fiscal years with appropriate information to show the necessity for these improvements. Each project shall have funding sources delineated, such as bond proceeds, special tax levies, state or federal grants, donation, special assessment, etc.

E. Cost estimates, method of financing, and recommended time schedule for each of these improvements.

F. The estimated cost of operating and maintaining the facility to be constructed or acquired:
   1. The estimated cost for debt service for capital expenditures. These will be financed from current revenues in the ensuing fiscal year and shall be included in the budget.
   2. Status on Active Capital Improvement Projects.
APPROVED BUDGET

MAJOR PROJECTS

A. Boys Totem Town
The Ramsey County Corrections department completed a review of the Boys Totem Town facility. The conclusion was that significant remodeling would need to be done to bring the facility to contemporary standards. Additionally, the existing layout has inherent flaws and is not conducive to efficient programming. The most cost-effective conclusion is to replace the main portion of the facility. Project costs related to the replacement of the facility housing the Boys Totem Town program is approved to be financed with $17,725,000 of County Bonds in 2014 and $8,825,000 in 2015.

B. Twin Cities Army Ammunition Plant (TCAAP)
On December 28, 2011 the County executed an offer to Purchase approximately 430 acres of the former Twin Cities Army Ammunitions Plant (TCAAP). On June 19, 2012, the County Board approved the purchase. The project provides for the hazardous material abatement, demotion, and site remediation of TCAAP. On November 27, 2012, the County Board approved the TCAAP Redevelopment Project and Financing Plan of $30,000,000. The Financing Plan has the costs to be financed with $9,404,912 of County Bonds in 2014. The $20,595,088 of the $30,000,000 was previously financed by $12,000,000 of County Bonds in 2013, $6,000,000 from the Solid Waste Fund, $2,000,000 from the General County Contingent, and $595,088 from the Capital Projects Fund. Staff from the County Manager’s Office, Property Management, Public Works, and Finance Departments is involved in the management and implementation of this project.

C. White Bear Lake Library
This project is a major renovation including a modest expansion of the library in White Bear Lake. Approximately 12,772 square feet would be remodeled and 3,728 square feet would be added to the library. Funding for the renovation and expansion in the amount of $3,540,585 and $44,370 in bond issuance costs is approved to be financed with $3,300,000 of County Bonds in 2014 and $284,955 from the Library Capital Contingent account.

D. Shoreview Library
This project is a major renovation including a major expansion of the library in Shoreview. Approximately 28,000 square feet would be remodeled and 13,500 square feet would be added to the library. Funding for the renovation and expansion in the amount of $4,920,457 and $79,543 in bond issuance costs is approved to be financed with $5,000,000 of County Bonds in 2015. The remaining project funding of $5,020,457 and $79,543 in bond issuance costs is planned to be financed with $5,100,000 of County Bonds in 2016.

E. 9-1-1 Phone System
The County’s 9-1-1 telephone system is reaching the end of its life cycle and will no longer be supported by the manufacturer in 2016. The new 9-1-1 phone system will enable the Emergency Communication Center to receive, record and identify calls for assistance of any device sending any media such as voice, text, video or photo. Funding for the project in the amount of $1,200,000 is approved to be financed from the Emergency Communications fund balance in 2014.
F. **800 MHz Radio Console Replacement**  
This project is for replacing 19 Public Safety radio consoles installed in 2007 at the Emergency Communication Center. The replacement is needed to sustain interoperability with the Statewide ARMER radio system backbone. Funding for the project in the amount of $1,500,000 is approved to be financed from the Emergency Communications fund balance in 2014.

**REGULAR PROJECTS**

Regular Projects approved for funding in the 2014/2015 Capital Improvement Plan Budget address the needs for maintaining capital facilities and infrastructure. The various renovations, repairs, and replacements recommended will allow the County to maintain and improve services currently provided. Funding in the amount of $3,500,000 will be available from the sale of bonds for each year.

The $3,500,000 funded from bonds is allocated as $2,000,000 for Major Road Maintenance and $1,500,000 for Regular Projects. An additional $3,800,000 for Major Road Maintenance is funded from a Wheelage Tax, for a total of $5,800,000 for Major Road Maintenance. Attached as the last page of this section is a list of road projects proposed for 2014, using the $5,800,000.

Regular Projects include capital items between $50,000 and $1,000,000 such as land, buildings, building improvements, and new equipment purchases. These requests are related to new/improved technology, expansion of programs, or the repair/replacement of assets used in a current program. Detail on the Regular Projects request process is discussed later in this document under “CIP PROJECT REQUESTS”. The list of Approved CIP Regular Projects is attached as Schedule 1.

**CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT & EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT LEVY**

A. **Building Improvements/Repairs**
Funding of $850,000 in 2014 and $850,000 in 2015 is approved for Building Improvements/Repairs. This funding is for buildings and grounds which are not currently recorded in separate Internal Service Funds. The County Board set a goal to finance predictable life cycle maintenance of buildings and grounds currently in the County’s General Fixed Assets.

B. **Equipment Replacement**
Continued replacement of mobile/motorized capital equipment on a scheduled basis for the Sheriff, Community Corrections, Parks and Recreation, and Public Works departments is approved.

Approved funding for equipment replacement in the amount of $1,500,000 for 2013 and $1,500,000 for 2015 will provide funding for scheduled replacements. The departments are given the discretion to set the priorities for replacement. Some equipment from the backlog could be given a higher priority for replacement over equipment scheduled for replacement in 2014 and/or 2015.
In 1996, the Capital Improvement Program (Citizens) Advisory Committee (CIPAC) recommended, and the County Board approved, the use of dedicated rental revenues in the RCGC-East and RCGC-West buildings’ operating budgets to fund a plan of building improvements/maintenance. In the 2001 budget, the County Board approved the use of dedicated rental revenues in the Juvenile and Family Justice Center to finance a 5-Year plan for the first time. Beginning with their opening, the new Law Enforcement Center, new Public Works Facility, new Sheriff Patrol Station and new Suburban Court Facility are also using this same funding method. The County Board also approved the use of dedicated rental revenues for the Courthouse/City Hall, the Libraries, the 911 Dispatch Center, the 90 West Plato Boulevard location, and the new Metro Square building.

Continued funding in this manner for the building improvements/maintenance is approved, as Other County Funds.

### 2014/2015 CIP FINANCING SOURCES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bonds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major Projects</td>
<td>$30,385,542</td>
<td>$13,745,457</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regular Projects</td>
<td>3,450,000</td>
<td>3,450,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond Issuance Costs</td>
<td>414,458</td>
<td>304,543</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Bonds</strong></td>
<td>34,250,000</td>
<td>17,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Improvement and Equipment Replacement Levy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building Improvements/Repairs</td>
<td>850,000</td>
<td>850,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equipment Replacement Schedule</td>
<td>1,500,000</td>
<td>1,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Levy</strong></td>
<td>2,350,000</td>
<td>2,350,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Funding Sources</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Funds</td>
<td>3,534,000</td>
<td>19,364,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Funds</td>
<td>7,781,100</td>
<td>20,109,266</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Municipal/Other Funds</td>
<td>6,413,000</td>
<td>6,052,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other County Funds</td>
<td>5,626,174</td>
<td>1,276,976</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Other</strong></td>
<td>23,354,274</td>
<td>46,802,242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL APPROVED CIP FINANCING</strong></td>
<td>$59,954,274</td>
<td>$66,652,242</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Debt Service levy and Capital Improvement and Equipment Replacement levy amounts necessary to finance these approved funding levels are included in the 2014/2015 Approved Operating Budget.
IMPACT ON OPERATING BUDGET

Ramsey County has worked to stabilize the County’s debt service levy and maintain it at a consistent level. The approved budget supports this goal and allows the County Board to continue reviewing and prioritizing current and future capital improvement demands. Requests for Board Action (RBA), approving major capital improvement projects will include authorization to establish specific capital project budgets.

MAJOR PROJECTS

A. Boys Totem Town
   There is no impact on the 2014 operating budget as the project will not be completed until 2015. The impact on the 2015 operating budget is unknown but the expenses associated with operating an antiquated facility are higher than operating a new facility, which may result in operational savings.

B. Twin Cities Army Ammunitions Plant (TCAAP)
   It is not expected that there will be any additional costs to the County Manager’s operating budget for 2014 or 2015. Administration costs for this project are paid from a Joint Development Authority budget.

C. White Bear Lake Library
   The impact on the 2014 and 2015 operating budget will be an increase in rent costs from the additional 3,728 square feet. The annual amount is about $30,197. Staff are deployed to other library locations to assist with the extra business during the closure of the White Bear Lake Library. There may be potential savings from reduced use of substitute staff during the closure to offset the increase in rent costs.

D. Shoreview Library
   The construction/renovation on the Shoreview Library is estimated to start in August 2015. There will be minimal impact to the 2015 operating budget, as the rent costs from the additional 13,500 square feet will not start until 2016. Any personnel cost savings resulting from the closure during construction will be used to pack up this branch and then to set up the new library. Also, staff are deployed to other library locations to assist with the extra business during the closure of the Shoreview Library.

E. 9-1-1 Phone System
   The impact on the 2014 and 2015 operating budgets is unknown. With the enhance technology and features, the operating costs of a new 9-1-1 phone system may rise.

F. 800 MHz Radio Console Replacement
   There is no direct impact on the 2014 and 2015 operating budgets. The new radio consoles will be smaller in size, freeing up space in the Emergency Communication Center computer equipment room.
REGULAR PROJECTS

Most of the CIP Regular Projects approved for financing are repair/replacement and maintenance projects. These projects should help improve operating efficiencies and offset increased costs for operations and repairs.

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT & EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT LEVY

A. Building Improvements/Repairs

The County Board approved the Capital Improvement Program Citizens’ Advisory Committee (CIPAC) recommendation to eliminate the separate Comprehensive Capital Asset Management and Preservation Plan (CCAMPP) prioritization process and to expand the use of Internal Services Funds for all facilities not currently in separate Internal Service funds. Staff will continue to work on an inventory of the County’s capital assets that have predictable, planned life-cycle costs, and to create the appropriate maintenance schedules.

B. Capital Equipment Replacement Program

By continuing an ongoing Capital Equipment Replacement Program for motorized/mobile equipment, departmental operating budgets will not need to fund replacement of this equipment. Replacing equipment on a scheduled basis also results in reduced maintenance costs of the old equipment, and can provide enhanced performance due to new equipment technology.

BUILDING IMPROVEMENTS/MAINTENANCE

Providing funds for building improvements through dedicated rental revenues annually will enable capital improvements to be scheduled as needed, over time, rather than waiting for an emergency situation which will cost more to correct. Completion of scheduled building maintenance improvements will extend the lives of the buildings.
DEBT STRATEGY

Effective November 6, 1992, Ramsey County became a Home Rule Charter County, the first in the State of Minnesota. Most debt and building fund levy limits and other restrictions established under previous Capital Improvement Program State Statutes no longer apply, giving Ramsey County the opportunity, and the responsibility, to establish realistic and affordable Capital Improvement levies for debt service and the Capital Improvement and Equipment Replacement levy (pay-as-you-go).

The only debt limit remaining applies to all local governmental units in Minnesota. This limit is 3% of the market value of all taxable property in the County (Minnesota Statutes, Section 475.53, Subd. 1. The computation of the limit for the year ended December 31, 2012 was 3% of market value of $39,244,390,100, or $1,177,331,703. Ramsey County’s net debt subject to this limit as of December 31, 2012 was $1,010,887,398.

A. Debt Indicators

Elected officials and executive staff representing Ramsey County, the City of St. Paul, Independent School District 625, and the St. Paul Port Authority meet regularly as the Joint Property Tax Advisory Committee (JPTAC). The JPTAC initiates cooperative ventures to control property taxes within the corporate limits of the City of St. Paul and agrees to work together to jointly plan for meeting the capital needs of each jurisdiction, coordinate general obligation financing of the area’s capital needs, keep financings within agreed upon debt levels targets, and monitor associated impacts on property taxes.

The JPTAC publishes a report, General Obligation Debt Overlapping on the Saint Paul Tax Base, bi-annually and adopts target ranges within certain debt position indicators and ability to pay indicators as benchmarks for the jurisdictions. The adopted benchmarks have been met consistently since 1977. Below are selected Ramsey County debt indicators.

B. Debt Service as Percent of Budgeted Expenditures

In 1993, Moody’s Investors Service indicated Counties debt service as a percent of budgeted expenditures is in the low range at 0% - 5%, medium range at 5% - 10%, and in the high range when greater than 10%. Ramsey County’s 2013 debt service as a percent of budget is 4.32%, which is in the top of the low range. Debt service projections for 2014 through 2022 show the highest projected debt service as a percent of budget would be 4.90% in 2019, which is in the top end of the low range.

C. Overall Debt Per Capita

Standard & Poor’s benchmarks for overall debt per capita are: Under $1,000 – Low, $1,000 to $2,500 – Moderate, and Over $2,500 – High. Ramsey County’s overall 2014 debt per capita is $2,580. Debt projections for 2014 through 2022 show the highest projected overall debt per capita would be $3,028 in 2022, which is in the low end of the high range.
POTENTIAL FUTURE MAJOR CAPITAL PROJECTS

Potential future Major Capital Improvement Projects that have been discussed by the County Board include:

- Deconstruction of Adult Detention Center/RCGC-West building
- County Environmental Service Center

CIP PROJECT REQUESTS

CIP projects are currently divided into four categories: 1) Regular Projects, 2) Major Projects, 3) Equipment Replacement Schedule, and 4) Building Improvements. Major Projects, Equipment Replacement Schedule projects, and Building Improvements are separated from what are generally considered the “regular” capital maintenance projects for discussion and recommendation purposes.

Departments and agencies submitted 9 Major Project requests, 53 Regular Project requests, four Equipment Replacement Schedule requests, and 11 Building Improvement requests covering the six-year period of 2014-2019. A working document was created to assist members of the Capital Improvement Program (Citizen) Advisory Committee (CIPAC) and County staff in reviewing the project requests. County department/agency heads and staff made oral presentations and answered questions about their project requests to these raters on March 21, 2013.

COUNTY MANAGER RATING SYSTEM

The County Manager Rating System is based on criteria identified by the County Board and are grouped in two categories: Service Based Criteria and Capital Based Criteria. This grouping reinforces the fact that a capital project has two aspects:

1. It is intended to provide a service, not to exist on its own, and
2. Capital improvement projects are complex activities that need to be developed and implemented well; and once a capital project is completed, it becomes a part of the County’s asset base and should be maintained well.

Eight staff members from various Ramsey County departments were selected to review and rank 47 Regular CIP projects. Five Regular CIP projects were removed from the CIP funding request and one Regular CIP project from Public Works were not ranked, as $2,000,000 of the $3,500,000 Regular CIP project funding for each year is approved to fund Public Works projects. Requests for staff are made to different County Departments every other year, supporting equitability and variety in opinions. These eight people rated the CIP project requests using a rating system that was established in 1987, and updated in 2000, in order to more clearly distinguish “good” projects. This rating system is based on the criteria outlined in County Board Resolution 87-089 (February 9, 1987).

For the 2014-2019 Capital Improvement Program Plan, each project could receive a point value ranging from 0 to 4 for each of 7 rating criteria. Each of the rating criteria has a weighting percentage assigned to it in the order of its importance. The weighting percentage was multiplied by the point value for each of the criteria to determine the actual rating points for each of the criteria for each project.
The criteria and weighting percentages in order of priority are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Weighting</th>
<th>Max. Points</th>
<th>Max. Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Protect Life/Public Safety/Public Health</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Replace Facility/Maintain Facility</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Protect Property</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Reduce Operating Costs</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Provide Public Service</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Provide Public Convenience</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Enhance County Image</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>.24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Each of the eight staff raters was able to assign a maximum of 4 points to a project, giving each project the possibility of being awarded a maximum of 32 points. Total points actually awarded ranged from 10.16 to 22.64.

**CIPAC RATING SYSTEM**

The Capital Improvement Program (Citizen) Advisory Committee (CIPAC) rated 47 CIP projects concurrent with, but independent from, the County staff. The County Board established the CIPAC in order to obtain input from the citizens of Ramsey County.

Each member of the CIPAC independently rated these projects in groups of eight, a rating system developed for the 1989 CIP, and used consistently since then. Projects in each group then received the following number of points.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating Group</th>
<th>Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First group of</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second group of</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third group of</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fourth group of</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fifth group of</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fifth group of</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Points from each member of the CIPAC were tabulated by project and the projects placed in priority order. The maximum number of points assignable to each project by the CIPAC was 72 (12 members of the committee rated projects for 2014/2015). Total points ranged from 19 to 63.

**COMBINED RANK**

The Capital Improvement Program Advisory Committee and I agreed upon a statistically valid method of combining the two ratings. The Combined Rank then was used to set overall Regular CIP project request priorities for the Capital Improvement Program 6-Year Plan, 2014 – 2019.
CONCLUSION

I am again very pleased with the methodology, outcomes, and recommendations obtained through the Capital Improvement Program planning process. The research, analysis, and updating of debt and debt service projections and comparisons with industry benchmarks serves as a guide for future capital plans, and outlines our commitment to long-range planning for capital needs. Objective priority setting allows me to support the projects recommended for funding. The continuation of a funded Equipment Replacement Schedule for mobile/motorized equipment is essential to the continued effective and efficient operation of County departments. Funding for Building Improvements (formerly Comprehensive Capital Assets Management and Preservation Plan) continues the ongoing financing of predictable fixed asset life-cycle maintenance costs. Realistic financing levels and methods help analyze needs and not overburden County taxpayers while restoring our capital infrastructure to a sound level. The Capital Improvement Program Advisory Committee continues the process of reviewing regular capital projects on an ongoing basis.

I would like to take this opportunity to thank all of those who have contributed to this process. I thank the Capital Improvement Program Advisory Committee for their comments and recommendations, and the County departments for their planning and input.

I would also like to thank the staff of the County Manager's Department, Property Management Department, and the Office of Budgeting and Accounting for their efforts.

Capital Improvement Program Advisory Committee (as of June 30, 2013):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>District</th>
<th>(Name)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Triesta Brown</td>
<td>District IV</td>
<td>(Toni Carter)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Hakes</td>
<td>District I</td>
<td>(Blake Huffman)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sue Hauwiller</td>
<td>District VI</td>
<td>(Jim McDonough)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Larry Holmberg</td>
<td>District I</td>
<td>(Blake Huffman)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dennis Larson</td>
<td>District VII</td>
<td>(Victoria Reinhardt)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greg Lauer</td>
<td>District III</td>
<td>(Janice Rettman)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James Miller</td>
<td>District V</td>
<td>(Rafael Ortega)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faith O'Neill</td>
<td>District II</td>
<td>(Mary Jo McGuire)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dan Parker</td>
<td>District II</td>
<td>(Mary Jo McGuire)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lawrence Sagstetter</td>
<td>District VI</td>
<td>(Jim McDonough)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wendy Underwood</td>
<td>District V</td>
<td>(Rafael Ortega)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gary Unger</td>
<td>District VII</td>
<td>(Victoria Reinhardt)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacant</td>
<td>District III</td>
<td>(Janice Rettman)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacant</td>
<td>District IV</td>
<td>(Toni Carter)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>