

## Minnesota Family Investment Program Performance Measures by Racial/Ethnic or Immigrant Group and by County or Tribal Provider

#### **Report for July through September 2016**

Published January 2017

Minnesota Department of Human Services

**Economic Assistance and Employment Supports Division** 

P.O. Box 64951

St. Paul, MN 55164-0951



For accessible formats of this publication and additional equal access to human services, write to <a href="mailto:DHS.Info@state.mn.us">DHS.Info@state.mn.us</a>, call 651-431-4000, or use your preferred relay service. (ADA1 [9-15])

This report is published on the MFIP Reports page on the Minnesota Department of Human Services website.

For more information on this report, contact:
Ben Jaques-Leslie
Research, Planning and Evaluation Unit
Economic Assistance and Employment Supports Division
651-431-3940
benjamin.jaques-leslie@state.mn.us

## **Table of Contents**

Minnesota Family Investment Program Performance Measures by Racial/Ethnic or Immigrant Group and by												
County or Tribal Provider	1											
Report for July through September 2016	1											
Table of Contents	2											
Performance Measures by Racial/Ethnic or Immigrant Group, and by County or Tribal Provider	3											
The Three-year Self-Support Index	3											
The TANF Work Participation Rate (WPR)	3											
MFIP Three-year Self-Support Index by county and by race July – September 2016	5											
TANF Participation by county and by race July – September 2016	7											

# Performance Measures by Racial/Ethnic or Immigrant Group, and by County or Tribal Provider

Minnesota has two programs providing cash grants to low-income families, the Minnesota Family Investment Program (MFIP) and the Diversionary Work Program (DWP). Information about these programs, including all reports cited here, can be found on the MFIP reports page on the Minnesota Department of Human Services' website. This report provides data on two performance measures for the two above programs, disaggregated by racial/ethnic or immigrant group, and by service area. The purpose is to provide local social service agencies with data about disproportionalities among these groups that are potential disparities, with the goal of eliminating disparities. Potential disparities are operationally defined as differences between whites and the racial/ethnic group larger than five percentage points. These differences are highlighted in the tables. Data are not reported for cell sizes less than 30 due to privacy restrictions.

#### The Three-year Self-Support Index

This measure tracks what percentage of MFIP- or DWP-eligible adults in a baseline quarter are either working an average of 30 or more hours per week, or no longer receiving an MFIP or DWP cash grant during the quarter three years later. Adults who left MFIP after reaching 60 counted months and those who left due to 100 percent sanction are only counted as a success if they either were working an average of 30 hours per week in their last month of eligibility, or began receiving Supplemental Security Income after MFIP or DWP cash assistance ended.

For example, the S-SI for the first quarter of 2016 reports the percentage of adults who were eligible for MFIP or DWP anytime during January through March of 2013 who were successes during January, February and March 2016. Participants are grouped by eight racial/ethnic or immigrant groups based on race as collected by the U.S. Census, and major groups of blacks and Asians living in Minnesota. The Self-Support Index of each group in the county or tribal provider is compared to that of whites in that county or tribal provider, the local reference group.

For more information on the Self-Support Index, see "Updated information on the MFIP Self-Support Index" under Evaluation Notes on the MFIP reports page. For more information on the Self-Support Index and its latest quarterly values, see the most recent "MFIP Management Indicators Report" on the MFIP reports page.

#### The TANF Work Participation Rate (WPR)

The Temporary Assistance for Needy Families program is the federal program that sets policy for family assistance programs and provides funding for some MFIP cases. The Work Participation Rate is a federally mandated measure that reports the average percentage of MFIP cases with eligible, non-exempt adults who are fully engaged in employment or employment-related activities, per federal activity requirements, across the months of the reporting period. (Note that there is no longer a state-imposed county limit on how many cases enrolled in education or training can be counted as

participating.) Cases are grouped within each county by the racial/ethnic or immigrant group of the case applicant.

More information on the WPR and its history can be found in "The TANF Work Participation Rate" on the MFIP reports page. For more information on the WPR and the latest monthly WPR values, see the most recent "MFIP Management Indicators Report" on the MFIP reports page.

## MFIP Three-year Self-Support Index by county and by race July – September 2016

	6	-4.	White		African A		Amada - :-	. ladiaa	C	!:	Non-So		11		Nam IIm: -:-	4	1 lle:	Mixed or	
	Cou		White		African American		American		Som		Black Im			ong	Non-Hmor		Hispa		missing
	Number of adults	Percent success	Number of adults	Percent success	Number of adults	Percent success	Number of adults	Percent success	Number of adults	Percent success	Number of adults	Percent success	Number of adults		Number of adults	Percent success	Number of adults	Percent success	Number of adults
Aitkin	66	83.3%	61	85.2%															
Anoka	1,907	67.8%	1,095	70.4%	443	55.5%	50	48.0%	65	81.5%	80	82.5%			34	82.4%	77	80.5%	52
Becker	163	73.0%	103	75.7%			35	62.9%											
Beltrami	481	71.1%	208	73.1%			230	71.3%											
Benton	320	74.1%	219	74.4%	36	63.9%													
Big Stone																			
Blue Earth	377	72.1%	189	76.2%	65	58.5%			50	72.0%	32	68.8%							
Brown	92	79.3%	70	81.4%															
Carlton	151	80.1%	120	80.8%															
Carver	146	73.3%	78	79.5%															
Cass	178	64.0%	107	71.0%			66	53.0%											
Chippewa	59	62.7%	37	62.2%															
Chisago	148	81.8%	142	83.8%															
Clay	420	73.1%	243	72.8%	37	73.0%	45	71.1%									56	76.8%	
Clearwater	44	68.2%	33	66.7%															
Cook																			
Crow Wing	288	81.9%	264	82.2%	_														
Dakota	1,712	70.2%	815	74.5%	419	56.1%			135	78.5%	36	75.0%			31	83.9%	183	72.1%	63
Douglas	143	76.2%	112	76.8%															
Fillmore	73	82.2%	66	81.8%															
Freeborn	207	76.3%	138	77.5%													37	78.4%	
Goodhue	182	74.2%	125	80.8%	32	53.1%		~~~~											~~~~~~~~~~~
Grant	36	88.9%	33	90.9%	_														
Hennepin	10,045	59.2%	1,678	61.1%	4,770	50.7%	542	41.9%	1,449	76.7%	544	79.6%	120	65.8%	238	79.4%	433	72.1%	271
Houston	83	68.7%	76	71.1%															
Hubbard	84	72.6%	71	73.2%															
Isanti	196	80.6%	177	83.1%	******************************										~~~~		~~~~	******************************	
Itasca	293	74.7%	250	76.4%															
Kanabec	96	67.7%	86	70.9%															
Kandiyohi	313	75.4%	117	75.2%					87	79.3%							95	70.5%	
Kittson																			
Koochiching	89	70.8%	82	72.0%															
Lac qui Parle																			
Lake																			
Lake of the Woods																			
LeSueur	123	79.7%	80	78.8%															
Mcleod	130	82.3%	100	83.0%				_, _,											
Mahnomen	71	74.6%					39	71.8%											
Marshall																			
Meeker	77	83.1%	69	81.2%															
Mille Lacs	165	74.5%	138	78.3%															
Morrison	148	75.7%	131	77.9%															
Mower	342	74.9%	215	73.0%													45	77.8%	

_	Cou	nty	White		African American		Americar	Indian	Som	nali	Non-So Black Im		Hm	ong	Non-Hmor	g Asian	Hispa	nic	Mixed or missing
_	Number of adults	Percent	Number	Percent	Number of adults	Percent	Number of adults	Percent	Number of adults	Percent	Number of adults	Percent	Number of adults		Number of adults	Percent	Number	Percent	Numbe
	or addits	success	of adults	success	or adults	success	or addits	success	or adults	success	or adults	success	or adults	success	or addits	success	of adults	success	of adults
Nicollet	199	71.9%	110	72.7%					39	69.2%									
Nobles	142	77.5%	57	70.2%											31	87.1%	36	83.3%	
Norman	35	74.3%																	
Olmsted	920	72.5%	460	74.6%	146	64.4%			133	75.2%	62	74.2%			34	79.4%	67	67.2%	
Otter Tail	208	66.3%	149	67.1%															
Pennington 	41	73.2%																	
Pine	249	77.9%	215	79.5%															
Polk	245	78.0%	136	81.6%													53	77.4%	
Pope	32	71.9%																	
Ramsey	7,340	61.8%	1,504	63.0%	2,809	49.2%	201	37.8%	433	76.7%	255	75.3%	421	69.6%	1,134	87.0%	408	61.0%	17
Red Lake		75 40/		70.00/															
Renville	57	75.4%	34	70.6%					80	05.00/									
Rice	307	73.9%	166	73.5%					80	85.0%									
Roseau	59	69.5%	48	70.8%	100	EC 40/	110	47 20/											-
St. Louis	1,400	63.5%	1,013 147	66.8%	189	56.1%	110	47.3%		04.00/							22	07.00/	5
Scott Sherburne	333 245	76.3% 72.2%	184	83.7% 73.9%	63	55.6%			55	81.8%							33	87.9%	
	245 61	83.6%	41	87.8%															
Sibley	994		452	73.7%	126	E2 20/			245	04.20/									
Stearns	35	74.0% 80.0%	452	13.1%	120	53.2%			315	81.3%									
Stevens Swift	56	80.4%	41	85.4%															
Todd	94	80.4%	84	78.6%															
rodd Traverse	94	80.9%	84	78.0%															
Wabasha	72	73.6%	57	73.7%															
Wadena	113	64.6%	99	64.6%															
Washington	680	69.1%	411	74.0%	136	50.0%											37	75.7%	32
Watonwan	53	84.9%	31	83.9%	130	30.070											37	75.770	52
Wilkin	55	04.570	31	03.976															
Winona	198	77.3%	141	78.7%	43	69.8%													
Wright	310	79.4%	263	81.0%		00.070	***************************************			***************************************	***************************************	***************************************			***************************************	***************************************			***************************************
Yellow Medicine	33	66.7%	200	01.070															
Leech Lake	242	53.7%					230	53.9%											
MCT	173	53.8%					157	54.1%											
Red Lake Trb	551	54.1%			~~~~		544	53.9%							~~~~		~~~~		
White Earth	267	51.3%					252	52.0%											
Faribault/Martin	176	73.9%	134	72.4%															
SWHHS	318	79.2%	176	76.7%													41	85.4%	
Des Moines Valley	121	75.2%	81	72.8%													••		
MnPrairie	437	72.1%	282	73.8%	39	64.1%			33	84.8%							64	67.2%	
State	36,462	66.0%	14,378	71.8%	9.747	51.9%	2,850	53.0%	3,022	77.7%	1,163	78.3%	571	69.4%	1,686	85.5%	2,123	72.4%	922

<sup>&</sup>quot;Number of adults" refers to adults that were eligible for MFIP in July, August, or September 2013.

Self-Support Index rates that are more than 5 percentage points below the white average are highlighted.

# TANF Participation by county and by race July – September 2016

					Non-Somali													
	Coun	ty	White	9	African Am	erican	American	Indian	Soma	li	Black Imm	igrant	Hmong	9	Non-Hmong	J Asian	Hispar	nic
	Average		Average		Average		Average		Average		Average		Average		Average		Average	
	number	TANF	number	TANF	number	TANF	number	TANF	number	TANF	number	TANF	number	TANF	number	TANF	number	TANF
	of cases	<u>rate</u>	of cases	<u>rate</u>	of cases	<u>rate</u>	of cases	<u>rate</u>	of cases	<u>rate</u>	of cases	<u>rate</u>	of cases	<u>rate</u>	of cases	<u>rate</u>	of cases	<u>rate</u>
Aitkin																		
Anoka	372	44.2%	182	38.5%	115	50.4%											32	43.4%
Becker	38	47.4%																
Beltrami	115	29.3%	47	32.3%			51	23.5%										
Benton	66	42.9%	36	54.2%														
Big Stone																		
Blue Earth	69	43.4%	35	48.2%														
Brown																		
Carlton																		
Carver	32	37.6%																
Cass	42	29.9%																
Chippewa																		
Chisago																		
Clay	80	27.3%	34	28.2%														
Clearwater																		
Cook																		
Crow Wing	58	50.8%	54	51.2%														
Dakota	371	50.9%	136	49.5%	123	50.1%											48	46.5%
Douglas	30	54.2%																
Fillmore																		
Freeborn	47	39.2%																
Goodhue	38	29.6%																***************************************
Grant																		
Hennepin	2,693	37.5%	360	30.7%	1,479	36.0%	104	18.2%	331	56.1%	130	41.4%					154	38.3%
Houston																		
Hubbard																		
Isanti	44	57.3%	35	56.7%														
Itasca	37	48.8%	34	47.1%														
Kanabec	50	45 40/																
Kandiyohi	58	45.4%																
Kittson																		
Koochiching Lac qui Parle																		
Lac qui Parie Lake																		
Lake of the Woods																		
LeSueur																		
Mcleod										***************************************		***************************************						
Mahnomen																		
Marshall																		
Meeker																		
Mille Lacs	30	49.1%																
Morrison	44	32.7%	39	29.4%														
Mower	62	35.6%	37	34.6%														
IIIO#GI	- 02	JJ.U/0		J7.U/0														

					Non-Somali  African American American Indian Somali Black Immigrant Honor Non-Honor Asian Hispania														
	County		County White		African Am	erican	American	Indian	Soma	li	Black Imm	nigrant	Hmon	g	Non-Hmong Asian			Hispanic	
	Average		Average		Average		Average		Average		Average		Average		Average		Average		
	number	TANF	number	TANF	number	TANF	number	TANF	number	TANF	number	TANF	number	TANF	number	TANF	number	TANF	
	of cases	<u>rate</u>	of cases	<u>rate</u>	of cases	<u>rate</u>	of cases	<u>rate</u>	of cases	<u>rate</u>	of cases	<u>rate</u>	of cases	<u>rate</u>	of cases	<u>rate</u>	of cases	rate	
Nicollet	72	28.9%	35	37.4%															
Nobles	29	46.1%																	
Norman																			
Olmsted	221	49.8%	93	38.3%	43	46.6%			37	83.8%									
Otter Tail	58	31.5%	45	35.6%															
Pennington																			
Pine	48	39.8%	41	43.1%															
Pipestone																			
Polk	41	34.8%																	
Pope																			
Ramsey	1,754	36.7%	301	35.7%	840	34.7%	47	17.2%	101	46.9%	57	43.2%	60	65.1%	127	38.9%	154	33.2%	
Red Lake	,																		
Renville																			
Rice	81	26.3%	33	32.4%															
Roseau																			
St. Louis	320	37.5%	214	38.5%	59	36.3%													
Scott	66	61.9%																	
Sherburne	35	42.9%																	
Sibley	00	12.070																	
Stearns	247	35.5%	93	41.4%	50	40.7%			81	22.6%									
Stevens		00.070	00		00	1011 70			0.	22.070									
Swift																			
Todd																			
Traverse																			
Wabasha																			
Wadena																			
Washington	129	47.2%	68	48.4%	32	51.7%													
Watonwan	.20		00	101170	02	011170													
Wilkin																			
Winona	30	37.5%																	
Wright	46	46.3%	35	50.7%												***************************************			
Yellow Medicine	40	TU.U/0	33	30.1 /0															
White Earth Nation																			
Facility of Mantin																			
Faribault.Martin	70	00.007	40	20.007															
SWHHS	76	38.8%	43	39.0%															
Des Moines Valley	0.5	00.001		44.401															
MN Prairie	88	39.3%	60	41.1%															

The TANF Work Participation Rate is reported only for case counts greater than 29 Participation rates that are more than 5 percentage points below the white average are highlighted.